

WCICCC 2014 HUD CoC Competition Project Ranking

The CoC Program Application Committee met October 7, 2014 at 3 p.m. to discuss the six projects that needed to be ranked for the 2014 HUD CoC Competition. The CoC Program Application Committee consisted of Dona Leonard, Barb Baker Chapin, and Bill Jacobs. Lori Sutton the Support Entity guided them thru the process. Bill Jacobs had to leave the meeting early. YWCA of Quincy and MCS Community Services of Jacksonville were the two entities with projects to be ranked.

In this year's competition, HUD had two tiers. Tier 1 is equal to the CoC's annual renewal demand (ARD) amount approved in the registration process, less 2%. Tier 2 is the amount between a CoC's Tier 1 and the CoC's ARD, plus any approved amounts for CoC planning. The ARD for the CoC is \$637,373, less the 2% cut of \$12,748, means that Tier 1 is equal to \$624,625. Tier 1 is normally considered safe funding.

Lori provided the group a spreadsheet with overall performance data. The committee examined:

- CoC Participation
- HMIS Data Completeness of Universal Data Elements
- Recidivism
- Housing Stability
- Client Income & Employment, including Mainstream Benefits
- Recaptured Funds
- Point-in-Time Counts

Based on the overall performance, both organizations were very close. YWCA scored 259 points or 86% of total points and MCS received 249 points or 83% of total points. In order to make sure both providers were able to keep their programs, it was decided that the cut would be split between the two providers. The group focused on the recaptured funds and discussion was held. The providers on the committee were concerned about the amount of funds that were unspent and recaptured. The grantees need to work with HUD to spend the funds within the grant period. **It was decided that MCS would be asked to cut \$8,000 from their projects and YWCA would cut \$4,748 from their projects. The providers could decide which project and the amount from each project, just as long as the overall cut was made.**

Next, project ranks were examined. In order to make sure the HMIS was not jeopardized, there was a bonus added to those projects to move them up to rank 1 and 2. There were three projects tied for 3rd with a score of 245. These were ranked based on the organization's overall performance. Points were not given in the drawdown of funds because one of the organizations noted that the funder had caused delays that resulted in some of the drawdown issues.

Ranking will be as follows:

Rank 1	YWCA A
Rank 2	YWCA B
Rank 3	YWCA C
Rank 4	YWCA D
Rank 5	MCS PSH 1
Rank 6	MCS PSH 2